



## Navigating Conflicts and Convergences: Unraveling the Dynamics of Social Acceptability in Socio-Environmental Transitions

*20<sup>th</sup> RIODD Conference, Toulouse, October 8-10, 2025*

### Call for Proposals

The International Research Network on Organizations and Sustainable Development (RIODD) will hold its twentieth conference (<https://riodd.net/>) in Toulouse in 2025.

This edition is organized in partnership with the University of Toulouse Capitole and the University of Toulouse. It will be held in Toulouse from October 8 to 10, 2025. Thursday, October 8, 2025, is reserved for doctoral sessions and will be subject to a specific call. The congress will thus run from the evening of October 8 to October 10, 2025.

- Deadline for thematic session proposals (open): **Tuesday, March 4, 2025**
- Launch of the call for communication proposals: Friday, March 21, 2025
- Deadline for communication proposals: Friday, May 23, 2025
- Notification of the Congress Scientific Council's decision: Friday, July 4, 2025

Congress website: <https://riodd2025.sciencesconf.org/>

Contact email: [riodd2025@sciencesconf.org](mailto:riodd2025@sciencesconf.org)

To present your work at the twentieth RIODD Congress:

It is possible to propose thematic sessions related to the congress theme (or related to the general theme of RIODD, namely the links between organizations and sustainable development). We will receive proposals by email or direct submission on the site until **March 4, 2025**.

Specific calls for communications for thematic sessions will be posted on the congress website and distributed like the general call for communications from **March 21, 2025**. Communications related to a thematic session should be submitted on the congress website in a reserved tab.

Any communication proposal outside thematic sessions, related to the call for communications or the general themes of RIODD, will be considered. The congress thus proposes a thematic orientation that is not exclusive. Calls for thematic sessions and communications are addressed to all disciplines in human and social sciences, but also to other concerned disciplines, notably engineering sciences, natural and earth sciences.

## Theme of the Twentieth RIODD Conference

# Navigating Conflicts and Convergences: Unraveling the Dynamics of Social Acceptability in Socio-Environmental Transitions

The Anthropocene age marks a tipping point in the Earth system, the beginning of the great acceleration leading to the exceeding of planetary boundaries. International scientific expertise - the IPCC for climate change and IPBES for biodiversity - warns of the need for profound changes in our ways of living, eating, moving, producing, consuming, and living in general. However, the most recent data indicate that we have exceeded the 1.5°C warming threshold, while the great collapse of biodiversity is confirmed. Most planetary boundaries are thus exceeded. What is blocking progress?

Despite a multiplicity of public policies, sometimes considered timid, insufficient, or ineffective, adherence to socio-environmental transitions is regularly tested to the point of confrontation, marking the antagonism of positions and the limits of deliberation. The mobilization of the yellow vests in 2018 and the mobilization of farmers in several European countries in 2024 - to cite just these two examples - express the rejection of certain political measures in favor of socio-environmental transitions. The ability of public and private actors to design and implement fair and effective socio-environmental policies is severely shaken. The credibility of policies guided by sustainable development objectives is then regularly questioned. And with it, anticipations are revised so that the commitment of many actors is weakened.

Understanding the barriers to socio-environmental transitions must thus be put on the research agenda, which requires questioning the notion of social acceptability. However, to avoid the frequent drifts of instrumentalization of the notion, social acceptability should be analyzed in light of power dynamics and conflictual relationships inherent in socio-environmental transition processes. By exploring its multidimensional, processual, and contextual character and its links with notions of environmental justice, trust, power, and democratic deliberation, considering socio-technical lock-ins, can shed light on understanding the factors leading to truly inclusive, equitable, and effectively impactful socio-environmental transitions.

The specialized literature points to the importance of environmental justice (i.e., an equitable distribution of benefits, risks, and costs of change, but also the processes and rules of deliberation and decision-making) as a condition for social acceptability. However, the role of organizations has so far been very little analyzed. How can organizations contribute to establishing the legitimacy of change and creating conditions for the adherence of concerned actors? Through trust, democratic procedures, principles of environmental justice, and/or through constraint and sanction...? Does the reference to general interest and the state of necessity impact acceptability and thus the adherence of different stakeholders? Do certain forms of governance have a propensity to produce stronger transformative effects than others?

How does the organizational fact combine with structural, economic, technical, social, ideological, political, cultural, and psychological factors to condition the social acceptability of socio-environmental transitions? How do political and media powers condition or not social acceptability? A priori, one might think that open and inclusive forms where the power of

capital is under social control (such as social and solidarity economy organizations or mission-driven companies) have a greater propensity to act in this direction. But is this really the case?

This 20th RIODD congress will therefore focus on the description and analysis of organizational modes that facilitate or block socio-environmental transitions in order to identify levers for action, by questioning the notion of social acceptability. It invites participants to address these questions through a diversity of approaches (theoretical and conceptual approaches as well as qualitative and/or quantitative empirical work) and in a plurality of perspectives (from the angle of actors promoting changes as well as those opposing them) and scientific disciplines. Particular attention will be paid to ongoing experiments where involved actors put social acceptability to work and seek original and innovative ways of doing things.

This congress thus invites a broad approach to phenomena, also taking into account the evolution of the geopolitical context, the multiplication of armed conflicts, the questioning of the rule of law, the polarization of our societies, the growing vulnerability of certain populations, in short, what makes us collectively accept the unacceptable. While the subject is of great topicality in Europe, the examination of historical cases and international comparisons will provide valuable insights.

#### Bibliographic References:

Alcantara C., Charest F., Lavigne A. et Saglietto L. (Dir.). 2023. *L'acceptabilité sociale. Enjeux de société et controverses scientifiques*. Paris : Presses des Mines.

Barbier, R., Jobert, A. (2023). Acceptabilité. In G. Petit, L. Blondiaux, I. Casillo et al. (Éds.), *Dictionnaire critique et interdisciplinaire de la Participation*, DicoPart (2ème édition). GIS Démocratie et Participation. <https://www.dicopart.fr/acceptabilite-2023>, 2023. hal-04175845

Batellier, P. (2015). Acceptabilité sociale : cartographie d'une notion et de ses usages. *Cahier de recherche*. Montréal : Les Publications du Centr'ERE (Centre de recherche en éducation et formation relatives à l'environnement et à l'écocitoyenneté). Montréal : Université du Québec à Montréal.

Boissonade, J., Barbier, R., Bauler, T., Fortin, M.J., Fournis, Y., Lemarchand, F., Raufflet, E. (2016). « Mettre à l'épreuve l'acceptabilité sociale », *VertigO - la revue électronique en sciences de l'environnement* [En ligne], Volume 16 Numéro 1 | mai 2016, DOI : <https://doi.org/10.4000/vertigo.17163>

Bordenave, C. et Nicolas, R. (2022). *Acceptabilité des nouvelles infrastructures de transition énergétique : transition subie, transition choisie ?* Avis du Conseil économique, social et environnemental sur proposition de la Commission permanente de l'environnement. <https://www.lecese.fr/travaux-publies/acceptabilite-des-nouvelles-infrastructures-de-transition-energetique-transition-subie-transition-choisie>

Depraz, S. (2016.) Le temps de l'acceptance. Acceptation sociale et développement des territoires. Dans S. Depraz (dir.), *Acceptation sociale et développement des territoires*. ENS Éditions. <https://doi.org/10.4000/books.enseditions.5777>

Dubuisson-Quellier, S., Jouzel, J. (2022). Chapitre 18. Les mobilisations face aux organisations. Dans Borraz, O. (dir.), *La société des organisations*. (p.291-301). Presses de Sciences Po. <https://doi.org/10.3917/scpo.borra.2022.01.0291>.

Fortin M.-J., Fournis Y. (2014). Vers une définition ascendante de l'acceptabilité sociale : les dynamiques territoriales face aux projets énergétiques au Québec. *Natures Sciences Sociétés*, 22 (3) : 231-239.

Fresso, J.B. (2024). *Sans transition : une nouvelle histoire de l'énergie*. Paris : Seuil.

Gendron C. (2014). Penser l'acceptabilité sociale : au-delà des intérêts, les valeurs. Communiquer. *Revue de communication sociale et publique*, 11 : 117-129.

Gendron, C. (2023). L'acceptabilité sociale de la transition écologique : au-delà de la résistance au changement. *Revue RIDO*, 6 : 117-125.

Gifford, R. (2011). The dragons of inaction: psychological barriers that limit climate change mitigation and adaptation. *The American psychologist*, 66(4), p. 290–302. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0023566>.

Renault, M. (2017) « Penser et élaborer des compromis. Une approche pragmatique de la responsabilisation sociale des organisations », in Chanteau J.-P., Martin-Chenut K. & Capron M. (dir), *Entreprise et responsabilité sociale en question*, Paris : Garnier, pp.123-140.

Yates S., Gendron C., Friser A. et Arpin M.-C. (2023). Les fondements de l'acceptabilité sociale. Dans C. Alcantara, F. Charest, A. Lavigne et L. Saglietto (dirs.), *L'Acceptabilité sociale. Enjeux de société et controverses scientifiques* (p. 15-31). Paris : Presses des Mines.

## Scientific Committee

Chair: Pierre-Benoit Joly, INRAE

Marie-Pierre Blin, IEJUC, Toulouse  
Eduardo Brondizio, Univ. Of Indiana at Bloomington  
Sylvain Chabé-Ferret, TSE, Toulouse  
Pascale Châteauterrisse, AGIR, Toulouse  
Michel Capron, Université Paris 8  
Franck Cochoy, LISST, Toulouse  
Gabriel Colletis, LEREPS, Toulouse  
Christine de Conto, Institut Catholique de Toulouse (ESQESE)  
Jean-Marie Current, Université de Montpellier  
Frédérique Déjean, PSL – Paris Dauphine  
Caecilia Drujon D'Astros, TBS Education  
Michèle Dupré, Centre Max Weber, Lyon  
Assaad El Akremi, TSM-R, Toulouse (Pdt du comité d'organisation)  
Cécile Fabre, MSHS-T, Toulouse  
Anne-Laure Gatignon Turnau, LGTO, Toulouse  
Corinne Gendron, Université de Montréal  
Jean-Pascal Gond, Bayes School university  
Armand Hatchuel, Ecole des Mines de Paris  
Jacques Igalens, TSM-R, Toulouse  
Gazi Islam, Grenoble Ecole de Management, Univ. Savoie Mont Blanc-IREGE  
Gérard Jazottes, CDA, Toulouse  
Bertrand Jouve, Université de Toulouse, Coordinateur de TIRIS, Toulouse  
Isabelle Laplace, ENAC et Institute for Sustainable Aviation, Toulouse  
Capucine Mouroux, CERTOP, Toulouse  
Roland Perez, Université de Montpellier  
Eric Raufaste, CLLE, Toulouse  
Nathalie Richebé, Université Aix-Marseille  
Kenneth de Roeck, SKEMA  
Mathieu Sperandio, TBI, Toulouse  
Valérie Swaen, Université Catholique de Louvain School

## Organizing Committee

Chair: Assaad El Akremi, TSM-R, UTC

Quentin Arnaud, TSM-R, UTC, Toulouse  
Julien Bétaille, IEJUC, UTC, Toulouse  
Marie-Pierre Blin, CDA, UTC, Toulouse  
Sarah Boujendar, TSM-R, UTC, Toulouse  
Bastien David, TSM-R, UTC, Toulouse  
Sophie Depoutre, DAR UTC, Toulouse  
Isabelle Desbarats, CDA, UTC, Toulouse  
Mathilde Dupui, TSM-R, UTC, Toulouse  
Jessica Eynard, IRSI, UTC, Toulouse  
Marie-Christine Henninger, LISST – UTJJ, Toulouse  
Isabelle Martinez, TSM-R, UTC, Toulouse  
Anthony Perrier, TBS, Toulouse  
Stephan Pezé, TSM-R, UTC, Toulouse  
Anne-Sophie Pradel, TSM-R, UTC, Toulouse  
Sophie Reigner, TSM-R, UTC, Toulouse  
Marie-Anne Verdier, LGTO, UT, Toulouse  
Julien Weisbein, LaSSP, Sciences Po Toulouse